

Cradle-to-Career Governing Board Staff Report

Date Report Issued: July 26, 2023
Attention: Members of Cradle-to-Career Governing Board
Subject: **Data and Tools and Community Engagement Advisory Boards Slated Candidates**
Staff Contact: Marykate Cruz Jones, Chief of Strategic Initiatives and Partnerships

At this meeting, the Governing Board (Board) will have the opportunity to approve the Data and Tools and Community Engagement Advisory Board candidates that were slated by the Selection Ad Hoc Committee (Committee).

Requested Action:

After review and consideration of the slating of candidates for the Data and Tools and Community Engagement Advisory Boards, Staff recommends that the Board approve the slated candidates as presented by the Committee.

- ❖ Move to approve the proposed candidates and runners up for the Data and Tools Advisory Board as specified in the staff report.
- ❖ Move to approve the proposed candidates and runners up for the Community Engagement Advisory Board as specified in the staff report.

Data and Tools Background:

As the [Governance Manual](#) states the Committee met in April in advance of the recruitment process to provide input on the application materials and the dissemination plan. When the applications closed, the Committee reviewed and scored each application against the rubric, with multiple Committee members reading each application. Once all members completed scoring, Office of Cradle-to-Career Data (Office) aggregated assessments. The Committee met again in June to discuss potential slates for each Advisory Board at a public meeting and rank-ordered runner up candidates.

Data and Tools Selection Process:

In total, the Committee reviewed and received [63 applications](#) for five impending vacancies. The Committee also received the [scoring rubric](#) which defines the criteria used for scoring the applications, [letters of support](#) received by the Office for candidates, a summary spreadsheet with the applicants assigned to each member for review, and a Google form to submit the results of scoring the applicants.

Selection of Seats by Category:

To facilitate the Committee's decision making, the Office organized the applications by category relative to the sector or population represented. Based on the applications received and the Governing Manual's guidance to aim for diverse perspectives and backgrounds, Staff recommended to the Committee the following number of seats per category.

Advocacy/Community	2 representatives
Practitioner/Researcher	3 representatives

Data and Tools Final Slating Selection:

During the June 23rd meeting, the Committee made the recommendation to the Governing Board to approve the below candidates to the Data and Tools Advisory Board.

Applicant Name	Category	Organization
Lisa Catanzarite	Advocacy	UNITE-LA
Diana Phuong	Community	Braven
Diana Harlick	Practitioner; Early Learning and K-12	San Mateo County Office of Education
Helen Norris	Practitioner: Private Post-Secondary	Chapman University

Jacob (Oliver) Schak	Practitioner; Consultant	Schak Consulting
----------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Runner up slating: Gabriella Barbosa (Advocacy; The Children's Partnership) as first runner up, Xilonin Cruz-Gonzales (Advocacy; Californians Together) as second runner up.

Scope of Data and Tools Advisory Board:

The Data and Tools Advisory Board has the responsibility to examine whether the data system is providing actionable information and identifying ways to improve access to that information.

Community Engagement Selection Process:

In total, the Committee reviewed and received [54 applications](#) for six impending vacancies. The Committee also received the [scoring rubric](#) which defines the criteria used for scoring the applications, [letters of support](#) received by the Office for candidates, a summary spreadsheet with the applicants assigned to each member for review, and a Google form to submit the results of scoring the applicants.

Community Engagement Selection Process:

To facilitate the Committee's decision making, the Office organized the applications by category relative to the sector or population represented. Based on the applications received and the Governing Manual's guidance to aim for diverse perspectives and backgrounds, Staff recommended to the Committee the following number of seats per category.

Advocacy Organization	1 representative
Community Organization	1 representative
Practitioner	2 representatives
Student	2 representatives

Community Engagement Final Slating Selection:

Applicant Name	Category	Organization
Adam Gottlieb	Advocacy	UNITE-LA
Carla Lopez-Valdes	Community	Para Los Ninos
Karina Lopez	Practitioner: California Community Colleges	Compton College
Lorenzo Gamboa	Practitioner; Private Post-Secondary	Santa Clara University
Alexis Takagi	Student	Student and CSAC member
Mike Nguyen	Student	Student and Santa Clara County Youth Task Force Commission

Runner up slating: Parshan Khosravi (Advocacy; UAspire), Aditi Geol (Community; P16 Partners), Jewyl Alderson runner up (Practitioner; San Diego County Office of Education) and Renay Sehgal Mehta second runner up (Practitioner; Chino Valley Chamber of Commerce) and Anushka Tadikonda (Student).

Scope of Community Engagement Advisory Board:

The Community Engagement Advisory Board has the responsibility for examining whether the Office is creating strong feedback loops with data users, supporting evidence-based decision-making, analytical capacity, and ensuring equitable access to actionable information.