



Connecting
Data and Insights
to Advance
Equitable Futures

Cradle-to-Career Data and Tools Advisory Board Proposal Update Form

Instructions:

Per the [Governance Manual](#), Advisory Board proposal authors may update or clarify their ideas in response to the Office of California Cradle-to-Career Data System's (Office) feasibility process. This form provides structure to the proposal updates, ensuring they effectively address the flagged issue and meaningfully advance the Office's work. Please note there should only be one update per form. An update should **clarify** the original proposal.

Name:

John Watson and Iulia Tarasova

Which proposal are you submitting an update for?:

Career Technical Education Pathway Outcomes Data for Query Builder

Identify which section should be updated (Check only one):

Section 1. Changes to the Data Request Process

- 1.1 What is the nature of the issue with the data request process?
- 1.2 What action should be taken to address the issue?
- 1.3 Who could benefit from this action?
- 1.4 Who would implement this action?
- 1.5 How does the action relate to the mission and vision of C2C?

Section 2. Changes to Tools Such as Dashboards or Practical (Operational) Tools

- 2.1 What is the nature of the gap regarding access to actionable information?
- 2.2 What type of tool should be developed?
- 2.3 How would a tool address the gap?
- 2.4 Who would be the likely user(s) of the tool?
- 2.5 How does the tool relate to the mission and vision of C2C?

Section 3. Adding Data Points Not Available Through the P20W Data Set or Adjusting the Existing P20W Data Points

- 3.1 What data point should be added or changed?
- 3.2 Who would use the data point?
- 3.3 How would the data point be used?
- 3.4 Who would provide the data point?
- 3.5 Does the data point already exist in a state-level data set or would it need to be collected by local institutions and reported to state agencies?
- 3.6 Which population(s) should be included in the data point? (such as K-12 students or workforce development participants)
- 3.7 How does the data point relate to the mission and vision of C2C?

What language do you want to change in the proposal?

What action do you want to take towards this language? (Check only one)

- Add language
- Clarify language/definitions
- Change the scope

What is your update for the proposal?

A Query Builder-integrated LEA-level annual data extract will provide:

- Pre-selected, actionable datasets on postsecondary, workforce, financial aid, and CTE outcomes.
- A predefined dataset in extract form, an efficient format for LEAs and COEs to retrieve and connect to other datasets.
- Aggregated data reporting to protect student privacy while giving meaningful insights at the district and county level.
- Reduced dependence on costly third-party data or specialized analysis.

Feasibility Study outcome preference: The Feasibility Study for this proposal resulted in two options both of which advance C2C's mission by increasing access to actionable information. The authors of this proposal express a strong preference for Option 2 described in the Feasibility Study as a full Query Builder extract with pre-selected existing data points described in the Proposed Output Table, for automated, easy access datasets as the specified extract would otherwise require reliance and cost to obtain and combine datasets available from a variety of external sources. The authors recognize that the pragmatic near-term Option 1 described in the Feasibility Study, with enhanced navigation to existing Pathways Data Story downloads for immediate usability, is acceptable.

[What issue does your update address?](#)

Emphasize that the tool provides annual, LEA-specific extracts, including CTE participation and industry sector interest, postsecondary enrollment (UC, CSU, CCC), workforce outcomes, and financial aid use.

Clarify that data is aggregated, pre-selected in the Query Builder, and drawn from existing administrative datasets.

Highlight that Option 2 is preferred, but Option 1 is acceptable as a near-term

solution to improve access while the Query Builder integration is finalized.

Reiterate alignment with feasibility study recommendations, workplan priorities, and Advisory Board feedback.

[How would your update resolve this issue?](#)

- Provides explicit language confirming annual data refreshes, LEA-level aggregation, and query builder integration.
- Highlights flexibility by including a near-term Option 1 implementation for immediate impact.
- Demonstrates feasibility, aligns with C2C workplan, and addresses Board feedback regarding usability, scope, equity, and cost.

[Provide specific actions the Office could take to implement this update:](#)

The additional text would be added to the proposal directly after the Proposed Output Table in the “What type of tool should be developed?” section, noting that this addition references the Feasibility Study.